

Christopher R. Harris
Direct Dial: (212) 906-1880
christopher.harris@lw.com

53rd at Third
885 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022-4834
Tel: +1.212.906.1200 Fax: +1.212.751.4864
www.lw.com

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

November 8, 2013

VIA ECF & HAND DELIVERY

Hon. Shira A. Scheindlin
United States District Court
Southern District of New York
500 Pearl Street
New York, New York 10007

FIRM / AFFILIATE OFFICES

Abu Dhabi	Milan
Barcelona	Moscow
Beijing	Munich
Boston	New Jersey
Brussels	New York
Chicago	Orange County
Doha	Paris
Dubai	Riyadh
Düsseldorf	Rome
Frankfurt	San Diego
Hamburg	San Francisco
Hong Kong	Shanghai
Houston	Silicon Valley
London	Singapore
Los Angeles	Tokyo
Madrid	Washington, D.C.

Re: *Monroe County Employees' Retirement System v. YPF Sociedad Anonima, et al.*,
13 Civ. 00842 (SAS)

Dear Judge Scheindlin:

We represent Repsol, S.A. ("Repsol"), and write respectfully to request an extension of the page limit for the memorandum of law Repsol intends to submit in support of its motion to dismiss Plaintiffs' claims in the above-captioned matter. Repsol's brief is due on November 26, 2013. Repsol requests ten additional pages, for a total of thirty-five pages. Plaintiffs' counsel consents to this request.¹

Repsol respectfully submits that this request is appropriate given the number and complexity of issues to be briefed. This includes issues arising from Plaintiffs' previously-withdrawn claims under the Securities Act of 1933, which Plaintiffs included in the Second Consolidated Amended Complaint (the "Complaint") after the Court granted leave to revive them against certain Defendants. The Complaint now asserts five theories of relief under both the Securities Act and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These claims raise complicated legal issues, requiring multi-step analyses under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act ("PSLRA") and case law, as well as numerous doctrines affecting the right to relief in securities class actions. In addition to the merits issues, Repsol also intends to address the tolling and timeliness questions on which the Court specifically invited briefing in its October 8, 2013 Order and at the October 15, 2013 conference.

¹ Repsol will not oppose any request by Plaintiffs for a ten-page extension of the page limit for their opposition brief.

LATHAM & WATKINS^{LLP}

To ensure that Repsol can clearly and adequately address each of these issues, we respectfully request that the Court grant the extension of ten pages.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Christopher R. Harris
Christopher R. Harris
of LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

cc: Mario Alba, Jr., Esq. (via ECF and e-mail)
Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP
malba@rgrdlaw.com

Thomas J. Hall, Esq. (via ECF and e-mail)
Chadbourne & Parke LLP
thall@chadbourne.com

Jonathan Rosenberg, Esq. (via ECF and e-mail)
O'Melveny & Myers LLP
jrosenberg@omm.com